IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 16508 of 2004**

Between:

K. Sridhar Goud, S/o. late Gouri Shanker,
R/o. Gaddiannaram, Hyderabad.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

1 The Prohibition & Excise Superintendent-cum-

Functional Registrar for Toddy Tappers Coop. Societies,
Hyderabad District at Hyderabad.
2 The Sub-Divisional Prohibition & Excise Officer,

Hyderabad Divisional at Hyderabad.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the respondents in not furnishing the enquiry report of the second respondent relating to TCS Gaddiannaram enquiry conducted on 07-07-2004, 08-7-2004 14-7-2004 & 19-7-2004 to the petitioner and in not taking any action in pursuance of the enquiry report as illegal, arbitrary, contrary to law and against principles of natural justice and biased and further direct the respondents to furnish the enquiry report of the second respondent relating to TCS Gaddiannaram enquiry conducted on 07-07-2004, 08-7-2004 14-7-2004 & 19-7-2004 of the petitioner.

**Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.AMARNATH GOUD THODUPUNURI**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR PROHIBITION & EXCISE**

**The Court made the following:**

ORDER:

The present writ petition is filed seeking to issue a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not furnishing the enquiry report of the respondent relating to Toddy Tappers Co-Operative Society, Gaddiannaram, which was conducted on 07.07.2004, 08.07.2004, 14.07.2004 and 19.07.2004, to the petitioner, and in not taking any action pursuant to the enquiry report, as illegal and arbitrary and further, to direct the respondents to furnish the said enquiry report to the petitioner.

Along with the affidavit, the petitioner also filed a copy of the representation, dated 16.08.2004, submitted by the members of the petitioner-Society to the first respondent requesting him to furnish copy of the said enquiry report of the second respondent.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise appearing for the respondents. Both the counsel conceded for disposal of the writ petition at the admission stage.

Since, the main grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is with regard to non-furnishing of the enquiry report by the respondents, without going into the merits of the matter, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with a direction to the respondents to furnish a copy of the said enquiry report to the petitioner at the earliest, if the same is not already furnished.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

25.04.2005

dr/tvk

To

1 The Prohibition & Excise Superintendent-cum-

Functional Registrar for Toddy Tappers Coop. Societies,
Hyderabad District at Hyderabad.

2 The Sub-Divisional Prohibition & Excise Officer,

Hyderabad Divisional at Hyderabad.

3 2 CCs to Government Pleader for Prohibition and

Excise, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

4 2 CD copies

5 1 CC to MR.AMARNATH GOUD THODUPUNURI

*Form-NIC-OGS/WP{**RLD**}*